

Message

From: Kintz, Roger@DTSC [Roger.Kintz@dtsc.ca.gov]
Sent: 9/23/2016 9:39:25 PM
To: LEE, LILY [LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV]
CC: juanita.bacey@dtsc.ca.gov
Subject: Re: Action Needed: Radiological Comprehensive Study of Shipyard, Compliant Filed on IVAN Thursday, September 22, 2016

Thank you!!

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 23, 2016, at 2:26 PM, LEE, LILY <LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV> wrote:

Lily Lee
USEPA Region 9
Superfund Division
415-947-4187

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Lane, Jackie" <Lane.Jackie@epa.gov>
Date: September 23, 2016 at 2:16:10 PM PDT
To: "Derek J. Robinson, Navy" <derek.j.robinson1@navy.mil>
Cc: "LEE, LILY" <LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV>, "Chesnutt, John" <Chesnutt.John@epa.gov>, "Yogi, David" <Yogi.David@epa.gov>
Subject: Action Needed: Radiological Comprehensive Study of Shipyard, Compliant Filed on IVAN Thursday, September 22, 2016

Dear Derek:

I am forwarding the following IVAN network compliant that was filed Thursday, September 22, 2016 at 10:36am regarding the Shipyard cleanup for your review and action. I just received the alert a few minutes ago. I will add to the comment section on www.bvhp-ivan.org/ that this complaint was submitted to the Navy for response.

Thanks, Jackie

Radiological comprehensive study of shipyard

Thursday 22 September 2016 10:36:33 AM

Categories

Description:

A demand, for a comprehensive radiological study of soil and fugitive radio active dust from the Hunters Point shipyard. In review of the Mayor Lee and Supervisor Coan's letter in a support for cleanup at the shipyard of nuclear waste but with the caveat of expediting the process of cleanup. Is unacceptable. Tetra Tech has been at the shipyard handling of nuclear waste for over 10 years. It took 10 years to create this problem it will take time to find the correct methodology for solving this problem and it will take time execute a proper remedy for cleanup of nuclear waste. We are demanding that the process be in compliance with the philosophy of the Federal EPA Definition for Environmental Justice, of Meaningful Participation of the Public in this process. It is our opinion that it is necessary for the entire facility should be reevaluated for nuclear waste and exposure left on the facilities. This evaluation should include core sampling throughout the facility employing step out method along with the core sampling. Surface Scanning cannot address the possibility of radioactive material beyond 6 inches to 12 inches below the surface. In the allegation made by a former Tetra Tech on KRON TV that radioactive soil was buried in trenches 3 feet under the surface in previous areas that were marked contaminated. Therefore the only way to ensure the public for health and safety purposes the entire facility should be scanned using this appropriate methodology. Outside neutral entities should conduct radiological studies not affiliated with Tetra Tech. Given the lackadaisical oversight of the regulatory agencies in seeing that appropriate standards and methodology the conducted an additional oversight should be established by a neutral University to ensure the safety of the public. Once the appropriate methodology for evaluating radiological soil samples and radiological fugitive dusts exposure into surrounding communities. We are asking that the California State Public Health Department, investigate the possibility of harm and exposure to the general public to low-level accumulative effect to radiological exposure. Given the past history of the San Francisco Department of Public Health Department, Environment Department in 2000 was unable to conduct an objective evaluation of emergency room admissions after the to August 2000 fire on Superfund site parcel E for possible admissions to the ER room base to chemical exposure and possible harm to the general public. The variables that I requested the study include or change by the director of the Environmental Health Division. The study that was produced only looked at pulmonary complaints. Which was in contradiction of the testimony provided by residents at a town hall meeting at the Kiska gymnasium, where residents complain of abdominal pain by both children and adults. Additional eyewitness reports at this town hall meeting described three distinct clouds colors be being admitted from the fire. This would possibly be concluded that one of the clouds were acidic and would cause abdominal pains eating through the mucous within the stomach lining. By limiting the scope of the study to only pulmonary reduced a whole classification of emergency room admissions therefore reducing the number of visits to the emergency room in this study. Given a false impact and severity of the Possible chemical exposures to the fire in 2000. Even though the director of the Environmental Health Division is no longer with the San Francisco Public Health Department. We are requesting an objective third party intervene in the evaluation. We would also like to point out at this time that the Navy was fined \$25,000 which was the maximum for failure to report this hazardous discharge to the San Francisco Public Health Department. The Navy did not report the situation and the severity until 16 days after the surface fire was put out. The Navy did not start conducting air samples from the fire until 22 to 27 days after the surface fire was put out. The fire continued to burn underground for 105 days. Elevated Chemicals were being released from the landfill to notice a Superfund site parcel E during these initial tests of 22 to 27 days. The director of the naval facility Richard Mauch was dismissed at this time, but was later rehired and now serves the United States Navy as the assistant to the Undersecretary of the Environment for the U.S. Navy. Also, the Remediation Advisory Board for the Navy should be reestablished at once. For ensuring meaningful public participation in this process. The current public relations campaign the Navy is providing is grossly insulting to the public is talking down to the public with very little participation as though you were talking to a three-year-old child, "we know what's best for you people". The United States Navy needs to work with the community not how can I get around the community. Thank you

Location Name:

United States Naval Shipyard and Nuclear Research Lab at Hunters Point

Comments

No results found.